	Case 1:24-cv-01012-BAM Document	3 Filed 08/27/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRUCE ALLEN SWENSON,	Case No. 1:24-cv-01012-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	v.	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	CDCR SECRETARY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Bruce Allen Swenson ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a	
18	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a motion to proceed in forma	
19	pauperis. (ECF Nos. 1, 2.)	
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity	
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all	
22	defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which	
23	a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part	
24	of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an	
25	action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any	
26	defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C.	
27	§ 1391(b).	
28	///	
		1

Case 1:24-cv-01012-BAM Document 3 Filed 08/27/24 Page 2 of 2

1	In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. It appears the claim arose in Sa	
2	Luis Obispo County, which is in the Central District of California. Therefore, Plaintiff's claim	
3	should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In	
4	the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the	
5	correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Ravelo Monegro v. Rosa, 211 F.3d 509, 512 (9th Cir.	
6	2000).	
7	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United	
8	States District Court for the Central District of California. The Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's	
9	motion to proceed in forma pauperis.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: August 27, 2024 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		